FILED ALAMEDA COUNTY

1	LEXINGTON LAW GROUP	# E 0000
2	Mark N. Todzo, State Bar No. 168389 Meredyth L. Merrow, State Bar No. 328337	MAR. 1 5 2022
3	503 Divisadero Street	CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT By Hefani Start
	San Francisco, CA 94117 Telephone: (415) 913-7800	Deputy
4	Facsimile: (415) 759-4112	
5	mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com mmerrow@lexlawgroup.com	
6	Counsel for Plaintiff	
7	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH	
8		
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	COUNTY OF A	ALAMEDA
11		
12		
13	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, a non-profit corporation,) Case No. RG21107796
14) -{PROPOSED}-CONSENT
	Plaintiff,) JUDGMENT AS TO GOFIT LLC
15	vs.)
16	GYMSHARK USA, INC., et al.; and DOES 1 through 200, inclusive,)
. 17	, , , ,))
18	Defendants.)
19		<u> </u>
20		
21	1. INTRODUCTION	
22	1.1 The parties to this Consent Judg	ment ("Parties") are the Center for
23	Environmental Health ("CEH") and defendant GoFit LLC ("Settling Defendant"). CEH and	
24	Settling Defendant are referred to collectively as th	e "Parties."
25	1.2 Settling Defendant is a corporation that employs ten (10) or more persons and	
26	that manufactures, distributes, and/or sells latex resistance bands that contain n-	
27	nitrosodiethylamine ("NDEA") in the State of Cali	fornia or has done so in the past.
28		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	1	

CONSENT JUDGMENT - GOFIT, LLC - CASE NO. RG21107796

- Proposition 65 (The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, et seq.) ("Notice") on Settling Defendant, the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys for every City in the State of California with a population greater than 750,000. The Notice alleges violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the presence of NDEA in latex resistance bands that are manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendant.
- 1.4 On July 22, 2021, CEH filed the above-captioned action in the Superior Court of California for Alameda County. On September 30, 2021, CEH amended the complaint naming Settling Defendant as a defendant in this action.
- 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that: (i) this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the operative Complaint in the above-captioned action ("Complaint") and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint; (ii) venue is proper in the County of Alameda; and (iii) this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment.
- 1.6 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is, or shall be construed as, an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other legal proceeding. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this action.

2. **DEFINITIONS**

- **2.1** "Covered Products" means latex resistance bands.
- 2.2 "Effective Date" means the date on which this Consent Judgment is entered by the Court.

DOCUMENT PREPARED

ON RECYCLED PAPER

-2-

1

4

5

6 7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

3.1 Reformulation of Covered Products. Within six months following the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall not manufacture, distribute, sell, or offer for sale any Covered Product that contains NDEA in excess of 10 parts per billion ("ppb") as determined using ASTM F1313-90 (2011) (the "Test protocol") by an independent accredited laboratory that will be sold or offered for sale to California consumers.

3.2 Specification to and Certification from Suppliers. No more than thirty (30) days after the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall issue specifications to its suppliers of Covered Products requiring that Covered Products not contain NDEA in excess of 10 ppb, and shall instruct each supplier to use reasonable efforts to eliminate Covered Products containing NDEA on a nationwide basis. Settling Defendant shall obtain and maintain written certification(s) from its suppliers of Covered Products confirming that all such Covered Products received by Settling Defendant for distribution in California do not contain NDEA in excess of 10 ppb as determined using the Test Protocol by an independent accredited laboratory. Settling Defendant shall not be deemed in violation of the requirements of Section 3.1 for any Covered Product to the extent: (a) it has relied on a written certification from its vendor that supplied a Covered Product that such Covered Product does not contain NDEA in excess of 10 ppb as determined using the Test Protocol by an independent accredited laboratory and such certification has not previously been demonstrated to be invalid, or (b) it has obtained a test result from an independent third party accredited laboratory reporting that the Covered Product does not contain NDEA in excess of 10 ppb as determined using the Test Protocol.

4. **ENFORCEMENT**

4.1 CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of the County of Alameda, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Prior to bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements of Section 3 above, CEH shall provide Settling Defendant with a Notice of Violation setting forth the basis for the alleged violation. The Parties shall then meet and confer regarding the basis for CEH's anticipated motion or application in an attempt to resolve it informally. Should such

attempts at meeting and conferring fail, CEH may file its enforcement motion or application. In ruling on any motion to enforce the terms of this section, the Court may, in addition to ordering compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment, employ such remedies as necessary to ensure compliance with Proposition 65 including, but not limited to, requiring Settling Defendant to provide warnings. Should CEH prevail on any motion or application to enforce a material violation of this Consent Judgment under this Section, CEH shall be entitled to its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application. Should Settling Defendant prevail on any motion or application under this Section, Settling Defendant may be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs as a result of such motion or application upon a finding by the court that CEH's prosecution of the motion or application was not in good faith.

5. PAYMENTS

- 5.1 Payments by Settling Defendant. Within fifteen (15) calendar days of the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall pay the total sum of \$23,125 as a settlement payment as further set forth in this Section. Any payment by Settling Defendant shall be deemed to be timely and not subject to a late charge and/or other penalty if (1) postmarked (if sent by the United States Postal Service) or (2) delivered to an overnight carrier (e.g. Fed Ex), on or before the deadline set forth in this paragraph.
- Shall be paid in five (5) separate checks in the amounts specified below and delivered as set forth below. Any failure by Settling Defendant to comply with the payment terms herein shall be subject to a joint and several stipulated late fee to be paid by Settling Defendant in the amount of \$100 for each day the full payment is not received after the applicable payment due date set forth in Section 5.1. The late fees required under this Section shall be recoverable, together with reasonable attorneys' fees, in an enforcement proceeding brought pursuant to Section 4 of this Consent Judgment. The funds paid by Settling Defendant shall be allocated as set forth below between the following categories and made payable as follows:
- 5.2.1 \$3,000 as a civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b). The civil penalty payment shall be apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety

1	Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental
2	Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")). Accordingly, the OEHHA portion of the civil penalty \$2,250.00
3	payment for \$2,475 shall be made payable to OEHHA and associated with taxpayer identification
4	number 68-0284486. This payment shall be delivered as follows:
5	For United States Postal Service Delivery:
6	·
7	Attn: Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief
8	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment P.O. Box 4010, MS #19B
9	Sacramento, CA 95812-4010
10	For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery:
11	Attn: Mike Gyurics
12	Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
13	1001 I Street, MS #19B
14	Sacramento, CA 95814 \$750.00
15	The CEH portion of the civil penalty payment for \$525-shall be made payable to the Center for
16	Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. This
17	payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA
18	94117.
19	5.2.2 \$2,125 as an Additional Settlement Payment ("ASP") to CEH
20	pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, §
21	3204. CEH will use these funds to support CEH programs and activities that seek to educate the
22	public about toxic chemicals, including carcinogenic nitrosamines such as NDEA and NDMA,
23	work with industries interested in moving toward safer alternatives, advocate with government,
24	businesses, and communities for business practices that are safe for human health and the
25	environment, and thereby reduce the public health impacts and risks of exposure to NDEA,
26	NDMA, and other toxic chemicals in consumer products sold in California. CEH shall obtain and
27	maintain adequate records to document that ASPs are spent on these activities and CEH agrees to
28	
- 11	

provide such documentation to the Attorney General within thirty (30) days of any request from the Attorney General. The payment pursuant to this Section shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.2.3 \$18,000 as a reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement shall be made in two separate checks as follows: (a) \$15,000 payable to the Lexington Law Group and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3317175; and (b) \$3,000 payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. Both of these payments shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.2.4 To summarize, Settling Defendant shall deliver checks made out to the payees and in the amounts set forth below:

Payee	Туре	Amount	Deliver To
ОЕННА	Penalty	\$2,250.00 \$2,475	OEHHA per Section 5.2.1
Center For Environmental Health	Penalty	\$ <u>525</u> \$750.00	LLG
Center For Environmental Health	ASP	\$2,125	LLG
Lexington Law Group	Fee and Cost	\$15,000	LLG
Center For Environmental Health	Fee and Cost	\$3,000	LLG

5.3 Notwithstanding the provisions of the Enforcement of Judgments Law and Code of Civil Procedure § 780.160, in the event that Settling Defendant does not comply fully with its

-6-

payment obligations under this Section 5, in addition to any other enforcement mechanism available to CEH, CEH may seek an order requiring Settling Defendant to submit a debtor's examination in the Alameda County Superior Court. In the event that Settling Defendant fails to submit to any such debtor's examination ordered by the Court, CEH may seek an order holding Settling Defendant in contempt of Court.

6. MODIFICATION

- **6.1 Written Consent.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.
- 6.2 Meet and Confer. Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment. The Parties acknowledge and agree that a change in the no significant risk level for NDEA may provide a reason for modification of Sections 3.1 and 3.2 of this Consent Judgment.

7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

- 7.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between CEH on behalf of itself and the public interest and Settling Defendant and Settling Defendant's parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, agents, shareholders, successors, assigns, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to which Settling Defendant directly or indirectly distribute or sell Covered Products, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, licensors and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to NDEA contained in Covered Products that were manufactured, sold, distributed or offered for sale by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- 7.2 CEH, for itself, its agents, successors and assigns, releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all claims against Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other

statutory or common law claims that have been or could have been asserted by CEH regarding a violation of Proposition 65 and/or the failure to warn about exposure to NDEA arising in connection with Covered Products manufactured by or for Settling Defendant prior to the

Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Defendant, its Defendant Releasees and its Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about NDEA in Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Settling Defendant after the Effective Date for as long as Settling Defendant continue testing in accordance with Section 3.2..

When CEH is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the notice shall be sent by electronic mail to:

> Mark Todzo Lexington Law Group mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com

When Settling Defendant is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the notice shall be sent by electronic mail to:

> Richard J. McNeil Crowell & Moring LLP rmcneil@crowell.com

Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent by sending the other Party notice by first class and electronic mail.

COURT APPROVAL

- This Consent Judgment shall become effective upon entry by the Court. CEH shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling Defendant shall support entry of this Consent Judgment.
- If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect and shall never be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose other than to allow the Court to determine if there was a material breach of Section 9.1.

10. GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION

2 3

10.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

other proceeding to enforce a violation of this Consent Judgment, CEH shall be entitled to its

reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application. Should

proceeding, Settling Defendant may be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs as a result

of such motion or application upon a finding by the Court that CEH's prosecution of the motion

or application lacked substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term

substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the Civil Discovery Act of 1986,

Settling Defendant prevail on any motion application for an order to show cause or other

Should CEH prevail on any motion, application for an order to show cause, or

Except as otherwise provided in this Consent Judgment, each Party shall bear

Nothing in this Section 10 shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and

understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior

merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between

the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or

implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any

Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or

otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements

any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No

discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby

4

11. **ATTORNEYS' FEES**

Code of Civil Procedure §§ 2016, et seq.

ENTIRE AGREEMENT

its own attorneys' fees and costs.

11.1

11.2

11.3

12.1

sanctions pursuant to law.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

12.

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind

1	suppl	ementatio	n, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding
2	unles	s executed	I in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions
3	of this	s Consent	Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other
4	provis	sions here	of whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.
5	13. SUBMISSION OF REPORTS AND DATA TO CEH		
6		13.1	For any report or information that Settling Defendant submit to CEH pursuant
7	to this	S Consent	Judgment, Settling Defendant may make such a submission subject to the terms of
8	a prot	ective ord	ler.
9	14.	RETEN	NTION OF JURISDICTION
10		14.1	This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the
11	Conse	ent Judgm	ent.
12	15.	AUTHO	ORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT
13		15.1	Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully
14	authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into		
15	and ex	recute the	Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that
16	Party.		
۱7	16.	NO EF	FECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS
18		16.1	Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any
19	claim	against ar	n entity that is not the Settling Defendant (or a Defendant Releasee) on terms that
20	are di	fferent tha	in those contained in this Consent Judgment.
21	17.	EXECU	UTION IN COUNTERPARTS
22		17.1	The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts
23	and by	y means o	f facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be
24	deeme	ed to cons	titute one document.
25			
26			
27			

1		
2	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:	
3	DECREED:	
4		
5	D-4-4. 2021	
6	Dated:, 2021	
7		Judge of the Superior Court of the State of California
8		
9		
10	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
11		
12		
13	Dated: November 19, 2021	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
. 14		
15		4/
. 16		Michael 6
17		Michael Green Chief Executive Officer
18		Chief Executive Officer
19		
20	Dated:, 2021	GOFIT LLC
21		
22		Signature
23		
24		Printed Name
25	·	
26		Title
27		
28		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER		-11-

CONSENT JUDGMENT - GOFIT, LLC - CASE NO. RG21107796

1	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
2	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:		
3	DECREED:		
4			3.57
5	March 15, 2022	111-	
6	Dated: , 2021	0 0 0 15 0P	
3 4 4 3 5 6 0 7		weemen.	र्शकार .
		Judge of the Superior Court of the State of	f California
8		Delbert Gee / Judge	
9			
10	IT IS SO STIPULATED:		
11			
12			
13	Dated:, 2021	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL H	PALTH
14	, 2021	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL II	ALIII
15. 16	E - Y	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
1.6	V		.8101
17		Michael Green Chief Executive Officer	
1.8		Chief Executive Officer	
19			
20	Dated: 11/2 , 2021	GOFIT LLG	
21		Man Ma	
22		Signature / //////////////////////////////////	
23	:		
2.4		CHARLES CASWELL	
24. 25		Printed Name	indir.
:		CEO	sions
26		Title	
27			;
28			
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER		-11-	
	CONSENT JUDGMENT – GO	OFIT, LLC - CASE NO. RG21107796	

Reserved for Clerk's File Stamp SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA **COUNTY OF ALAMEDA FILED** COURTHOUSE ADDRESS: Superior Court of California Hayward Hall of Justice County of Alameda 24405 Amador Street, Hayward, CA 94544 03/23/2022 PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Chad Flake Executive Offices/Clerk of the Court Center for Environmental Health Deputy S. Gould DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Gymshark USA, Inc. et al CASE NUMBER: CERTIFICATE OF MAILING RG21107796

I, the below-named Executive Officer/Clerk of the above-entitled court, do hereby certify that I am not a party to the cause herein, and that on this date I served the Judgment upon each party or counsel named below by placing the document for collection and mailing so as to cause it to be deposited in the United States mail at the courthouse in Hayward, California, one copy of the original filed/entered herein in a separate sealed envelope to each address as shown below with the postage thereon fully prepaid, in accordance with standard court practices.

Daniel William Fox K&L Gates LLP 4 Embarcadero Ctr Ste 1200 San Francisco, CA 94111

Gary John Smith Beveridge & Diamond, P.C 456 Montgomery St Ste 1800 San Francisco, CA 94104

Gregory L Doll Doll Amir & Eley LLP 725 S. Figueroa Street Suite 3275 Los Angeles, CA 90017James H. Colopy Farella Braun + Martel LLP 235 Montgomery Street, 17th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104

James Robert Maxwell 311 California Street San Francisco, CA 94104 Jeffrey Brian Margulies Norton Rose Fulbright US LLP 555 S Flower St Fl 41 Los Angeles, CA 90071

Mark N. Todzo Lexington Law Group 503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117-

Dated: 03/23/2022

Meredyth Lynn Merrow Lexington Law Group 503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117

Chad Finke, Executive Officer / Clerk of the Court

By:

Chad Finke, Exelettie Officer/Chinforthe court

S. Gould, Deputy Clerk

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

SHORT TITLE: Center for Environmental Health VS Gymshark USA, Inc.

CASE NUMBER: RG21107796

NICOLE BABAKNIA
ALLEN MATKINS LECK GAMBLE MALLORY & NATSIS LLP
865 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2800
Los Angeles, CA 90017

Peg Carew Toledo
Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer LLP
3 Embarcadero Ctr, Ste 10
San Francisco, CA 94111